

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

After the foregoing Amendment, Claims 1 - 4, 6 - 7, 10 and 43 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 6 - 7, 10 and 43 have been amended. Claims 5, 8 - 9 and 11 - 43 are cancelled without prejudice. The specification has been amended to include the term "flanges" as suggested by the Examiner; to remove the recitation of "Applicant" in Paragraphs [0007] and [0028]; to include the patent number of the parent application and to correct a typographical error in paragraph [0047]. No new matter is added by these amendments.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner is thanked for indicating that claims 1 - 4, 6 - 7, 9 - 10 and 43 contain allowable subject matter.

**Objections to the Specification**

The Examiner objected to the specification due to informalities. The amendment to the specification, as set forth in the attached Substitute Specification, obviates the objection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the specification is respectfully requested.

**Objections to the Drawings**

The Examiner objected to the drawings for failure to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The amendments to claim 1 and to the

specification obviate the objection to the drawings. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is respectfully requested.

**Claim Objections**

Claims 1, 6 - 7, 9 - 10 and 43 were rejected in the Action due to informalities. The amendment of those claims obviates the objection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the claims 1, 6 - 7, 9 - 10 and 43 is respectfully requested.

Claim 9 was also rejected under 37 CFR §1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. The cancellation of claim 9 renders the objection moot.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112**

Claims 1 - 4, 6 - 7 and 9 - 10 were rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Claims 1, 6 - 7, 10 and 43 have been amended to recite the term "flanges" as suggested by the Examiner. Claim 1 has also been amended to more clearly point out that the circumferential flanges are parallel to each other and extend radially.

Claim 10 has been similarly amended to more clearly point out that the flanges extend radially outwards from the two sides of the outer peripheral wall.

Based on the amendments presented above, withdrawal of the 35 USC §112 rejection of claims 1 - 4, 6 - 7 and 9 - 10 is respectfully requested.

**Conclusion**

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 1 - 4, 6 - 7, 10 and 43 is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Mario Meggiolan

By /Robert J. Ballarini/  
Robert J. Ballarini  
Registration No. 48,684

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.  
United Plaza, Suite 1600  
30 South 17th Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 568-6400  
Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

RJB/srs  
Enclosures (2)